(33)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION (S) No. 2933 of 2007

Petitioners	1.	Mrs. Lucy Maitra, Age about 56 year, Lecturer, Late W/o Shri S.K. Maitra, G-5, B.T.I. Colony, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	Uttam Kumar Nagwanshi, Age about 42 year, U.D. Teacher, Govt. High School, Korasi, (Arang), Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	3.	Smt. Emelda Toppo, Age about 39 year, U.D. Teacher, S/o Shri Ajit Kumar Toppo, Govt. High School, Dondekala, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	4.	Ku. Rohani Joshi (Madhukar), Age about 42 year, U.D. Teacher, S/o Shri B.S. Joshi, Govt. Middle School Vimora, Abhanpur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	5.	Mukesh Kumar Bansode, Age about 40 year, U.D. Teacher, S/o Shri Shriram Bansode, Govt. High. Sec. School Hasda-2, Raipur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	6.	Smt. Geeta Lahre, Age about 40 year, U.D. Teacher, H/o Shri Ishwar Prasad Lahre, Govt. Girl High School, Simga, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	7.	Rajesh Agarawal, Age about 41 year, U.D. Teacher, S/o Shri Ram Tarang Lal Agrawal, Housing Board Colony (Near Post Office), Tatibandh, Raipur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	8.	Chandra Shekhar Dewangan, Age about 43 year, Head Master, S/o Shri Bihari Lal Dewangan, Krishna Nagar, Nahar Par Near Jai Ambey Storse, Raipur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	9.	Churamani Sahu, Age about 41 year, U.D. Teacher, S/o Shri Chandulal Sahu, Govt. Boy's P/S Block Colony, Abhanpur, Via-Abhanpur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	10.	Bhagwan Singh Sahu, Age about 47 year, Astt. Teacher, S/o Late Shri Ramlal Sahu, Govt. Middle School Fundhar, Via.-Dharsiva, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
	Versus	
Respondents	1.	State Govt. of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department & Education Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	Distt. Education Officer, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**

Present: Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State / respondents.

---

(34)

ORDER

(Passed on 10th day of May, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the fact and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}**, which has been disposed of vide order dated 03.04.2006. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not controvert the said submission.

2. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-